JUPITER PRIME

Consulting • Training • Advisory

جوبيتر برايم للاستشارات والتدريب

COURSE BROCHURE

Pipeline Leak Detection Technology Selection Training Course

28 June – 2 July 2027
Milan

jupiterprime.uk

info@jupiterprime.uk

Registered in the United Kingdom and Saudi Arabia

Pipeline Leak Detection Technology Selection Training Course

Ref: 2883_110222 **Date:** 28 June – 2 July 2027 **Location:** Milan **Fees:** 7200 GBP

Pipeline Leak Detection Technology Selection is a 1-week training course for pipeline operations and integrity engineers who must choose, combine and justify the methods that watch a line for loss of containment, producing a leak detection technology selection and risk reduction case. Owners now face sharper scrutiny of release volumes, response times and alarm credibility. Jupiter Prime Training delivers this course for engineers who carry that choice.

Why Pipeline Leak Detection Technology Selection Matters Now

Three forces shape the choice today. Ageing networks run closer to people and water, so slow detection costs more each year. Distributed fibre, acoustic arrays and vapour cables now compete with established computational methods. Control room operators, meanwhile, lose trust in systems that alarm too often. Selecting well shortens time to detect and keeps operators responsive; selecting badly buys sensors that stay silent or noisy. The course rests on recognised measures of sensitivity, reliability, accuracy and robustness.

Who Gains Most from Pipeline Leak Detection Technology Selection

- Engineers who own the hydraulic model and computational monitoring on a line
- Integrity specialists who rank release consequences and justify extra sensing
- Control room supervisors who handle alarm triage, shut-in calls and shift handover of leak events
- Instrumentation and telemetry engineers who specify meters, transmitters, fibre and communication links
- Project engineers who write the technical requirements for new lines or retrofits on existing ones

Asset teams gain most when modeller, integrity lead and control room supervisor attend together.

Pipeline Leak Detection Technology Selection Capabilities

Participants compare detection methods on evidence rather than vendor claims and defend a chosen mix.

- Calculate the smallest detectable leak and detection time for a given metering layout — instrument budget holders
- Weigh computational against external sensing methods for each line segment — integrity risk owners
- Specify fibre, acoustic and vapour sensing coverage for high-consequence crossings — project sponsors
- Test alarm thresholds against transient and batch operations to cut nuisance alarms — control room leads
- Assess localisation accuracy and its effect on isolation and repair crew dispatch — emergency coordinators
- Build a selection and risk reduction case that links detection performance to consequence — asset managers

Key Pipeline Leak Detection Technology Selection Terms

- Mass balance — comparing metered inflow and outflow, corrected for line pack, to infer a loss of product.
- Pressure wave method — locating a rupture by timing the rarefaction wave that reaches transmitters at both ends.
- Distributed acoustic sensing — an optical fibre along the line acting as many microphones for escape noise.
- Vapour sensing cable — a buried permeable tube whose sampled vapour shows leak position.
- Detection sensitivity — the smallest leak rate a method reliably flags, often stated as a percentage of nominal flow.
- False alarm rate — how often a method raises an alarm with no real leak, which drives operator trust.

Pipeline Leak Detection Technology Selection Day by Day

Day 1: Release Consequence and Detection Need

- Release Scenario Mapping — Which Segments Carry The Highest Consequence
- Product Behaviour On Release — Liquid Pooling Versus Gas Dispersion Effects
- Detection Performance Measures — Sensitivity, Reliability, Accuracy And Robustness Targets
- Time To Detect And Release Volume — Setting Acceptable Spill Size Limits
- Existing Line Instrument Survey — Gaps In Meters, Transmitters And Telemetry

Day 2: Computational Monitoring Methods

- Compensated Volume Balance — Line Pack Correction And Meter Uncertainty Limits
- Real-Time Transient Modelling — Tuning The Hydraulic Model To Live Data
- Statistical Leak Tests — Sequential Probability Checks Against Normal Variation
- Pressure Wave Timing — Rupture Localisation From End Transmitter Signals
- Slack Line And Batch Effects — Masking Risks In Multiproduct Service

Day 3: External and Sensor-Based Methods

- Distributed Acoustic Fibre — Coverage Length, Cable Placement And Noise Floor
- Distributed Temperature Fibre — Thermal Signatures Of Liquid And Gas Escape
- Hydrocarbon Vapour Cable — Sampling Intervals And Position Resolution Trade-Offs
- Aerial And Patrol Detection — Infrared, Laser Methane Survey And Ground Checks
- Intrusion And Third-Party Activity Sensing — Preventing Damage Before Loss

Day 4: Alarm Credibility and Method Mixing

- Threshold Setting Against Operating Transients — Balancing Sensitivity And Nuisance Alarms
- Alarm Triage In The Control Room — Confirm, Shut In Or Monitor
- Layered Detection Design — Combining Computational And External Methods By Segment
- Performance Testing By Fluid Withdrawal — Proving Real Sensitivity In Service
- Lifecycle Cost Of Detection — Hardware, Calibration, Staffing And Upgrade Effort

Day 5: Practising the Selection and Risk Reduction Case

- Segment Scoring Matrix — Applying Consequence And Detection Need Results
- Candidate Method Shortlist — Matching Computational And Sensor Options To Segments
- Expected Release Reduction Estimate — Linking Faster Detection To Smaller Spills
- Operator Acceptance Review — Testing Alarm Burden With Control Room Leads
- Leak Detection Technology Selection And Risk Reduction Case — Final Peer Challenge

Applying Pipeline Leak Detection Technology Selection After the Course

- Run a compensated volume balance uncertainty check on one live line.
- Lead a segment scoring session to rank where fibre or vapour sensing cuts release volume most.

Pipeline Leak Detection Technology Selection Questions FAQ

What is pipeline leak detection technology selection and why does it matter now?

It is the structured choice of methods that monitor a line for releases. It matters now because sensing options have multiplied and operators need alarms they can trust.

Which roles gain most from pipeline leak detection technology selection?

Hydraulic modellers, integrity specialists, control room supervisors, instrumentation engineers and project engineers gain most. You benefit most if you decide where sensors go or how alarms are handled.

How does pipeline leak detection technology selection differ from a general pipeline operations course?

It concentrates on one decision: which detection methods to fit and how to prove they work. A general operations course covers hydraulics and maintenance broadly; this one goes deep into sensitivity and alarm credibility.

What do you bring back from pipeline leak detection technology selection?

You bring back a documented selection case for one real line. It ranks segments, matches methods to each and estimates the release reduction.

Pipeline Leak Detection Technology Selection: The Way Forward

The leak detection technology selection and risk reduction case first informs which segments receive added sensing in the next budget round. Integrity leads and project engineers use it to brief management and specify hardware. After the first real alarm or withdrawal test, revisit thresholds and scoring, since field evidence often shifts the balance between methods.