JUPITER PRIME

Consulting • Training • Advisory

جوبيتر برايم للاستشارات والتدريب

COURSE BROCHURE

Pipeline Segment Risk Scoring and Risk-Based Inspection Training Course

30 November – 4 December 2026
Geneva

Pipeline Segment Risk Scoring and Risk-Based Inspection Training Course

Ref: 2888_110339 **Date:** 30 November – 4 December 2026 **Location:** Geneva **Fees:** 7500 GBP

Pipeline Segment Risk Scoring and Risk-Based Inspection is a 1-week training course for pipeline integrity engineers, risk analysts and inspection planners, who leave with a risk-based inspection plan for a pipeline system. Ageing lines and finite inspection budgets make deciding where to look first, and how often, a leadership question. Jupiter Prime Training delivers this course for engineers who set those priorities.

Why Pipeline Segment Risk Scoring Matters Now

Three forces shape pipeline segment risk scoring today. Ageing transmission and gathering lines carry more corrosion, cracking and coating loss than their original inspection plans assumed. Regulators, insurers and communities expect traceable reasoning for every interval. An operator that scores segments well spends inspection effort where failure would hurt most. One that scores badly inspects quiet lines while a high-consequence crossing ages unseen. The course rests on recognised industry practice for integrity management and risk-based inspection, described in general terms.

Who Gains Most from Pipeline Segment Risk Scoring

- Integrity engineers who own threat identification and the segment risk register for a pipeline network
- Inspection planners who schedule in-line tool runs, direct assessment digs and above-ground surveys
- Corrosion specialists who supply corrosion rates to likelihood models
- Risk and process safety engineers who define consequence categories
- Asset engineers who weigh inspection spend against repair or replacement

An integrity group that sends its corrosion, inspection and consequence specialists together gains most, because the scoring holds only when those disciplines agree on its inputs.

Pipeline Segment Risk Scoring Capabilities

Participants move from raw pipeline records to defensible inspection decisions.

- Divide a pipeline into dynamic segments — segment boundary decisions
- Calculate likelihood of failure scores for time-dependent, stable and random threats — threat prioritisation for integrity engineers
- Weigh consequence of failure across safety, environment, supply and reputation — consequence ranking for asset owners
- Assess interacting threats — likelihood adjustment for inspection planners
- Specify inspection type and interval from risk ranking and degradation rate — the inspection schedule approved by management
- Communicate residual risk and the reasoning behind each interval — assurance for regulators, insurers and leadership

Key Pipeline Segment Risk Scoring Terms

- Dynamic Segmentation — dividing a pipeline into lengths wherever a risk attribute changes.
- Likelihood of Failure — an estimate of how probable a leak or rupture is on a segment, drawn from threat data.
- Consequence of Failure — the expected impact of a release on people, environment and supply.
- Threat Interaction — the condition where two or more threats together raise failure likelihood beyond either alone.
- Remaining Life — the time before a defect growing at its measured rate reaches a size that could cause failure.
- Risk Matrix — a grid plotting likelihood against consequence to place each segment in a priority band.

Pipeline Segment Risk Scoring Day by Day

Day 1: Context and Threats Across the Pipeline Network

- Pipeline Integrity Management Cycle — Where Risk Scoring Sits in Assurance
- Threat Taxonomy for Onshore and Offshore Lines — Complete Coverage of Failure Causes
- Failure Incident Records and Lessons — Realistic Weighting of Historic Causes
- Data Gathering and Alignment of Records — Confidence in Model Inputs
- Dynamic Segmentation Rules — Boundaries That Reflect Changing Risk

Day 2: Likelihood of Failure Scoring

- Index Scoring Versus Quantitative Models — Choosing the Right Model Depth
- External and Internal Corrosion Rate Inputs — Time-Dependent Likelihood Estimates
- Cracking and Manufacturing Defect Susceptibility — Stable Threat Activation Criteria
- Third-Party Damage and Ground Movement Factors — Random Threat Weighting
- Threat Interaction Matrices — Adjusted Likelihood for Combined Threats

Day 3: Consequence of Failure and Risk Ranking

- Release Rate and Hazard Zone Estimation — Impact Radius for Population Areas
- High-Consequence Area Identification — Priority Treatment of Sensitive Segments
- Environmental and Supply Interruption Scoring — Balanced Non-Safety Consequences
- Risk Matrix Calibration and Tolerability Bands — Consistent Priority Thresholds
- Sensitivity Testing of Weights — Robustness of the Ranked Segment List

Day 4: Setting Inspection Type and Interval

- In-Line Inspection Tool Selection by Threat — Matching Technology to Defect Type
- Direct Assessment and Above-Ground Survey Methods — Options for Unpiggable Lines
- Pressure Testing as an Integrity Method — Trade-Offs Against Tool Runs
- Defect Growth and Remaining Life Calculation — Re-Inspection Interval Setting
- Mitigation Versus Inspection Choices — Cost of Risk Reduction per Segment

Day 5: Practising the Risk-Based Inspection Plan

- Scoring a Sample Pipeline System End to End — Applied Segment Ranking
- Peer Challenge of Likelihood and Consequence Inputs — Defensible Scores Under Scrutiny
- Interval Justification Records — Traceable Reasoning for Auditors
- Integrity Performance Measures and Plan Updates — Learning From New Inspection Data
- Risk-Based Inspection Plan for a Pipeline System — Final Schedule and Residual Risk Statement

Applying Pipeline Segment Risk Scoring After the Course

- Re-segment one existing line using dynamic segmentation and compare the ranking with the current schedule.
- Lead a calibration session where corrosion, inspection and consequence specialists agree weights for the threat interaction matrix.

Pipeline Segment Risk Scoring Questions FAQ

What is pipeline segment risk scoring and why does it matter now?

Pipeline segment risk scoring rates each length of line for likelihood and consequence of failure to rank where inspection should go first. It matters now because lines are ageing, inspection budgets are limited and operators must justify every interval they set.

Which roles gain most from pipeline segment risk scoring?

Integrity engineers, inspection planners, corrosion specialists and risk engineers gain most. A shared method lets them reach consistent inspection decisions.

How does pipeline segment risk scoring differ from a general integrity management course?

Pipeline segment risk scoring concentrates on the scoring model and the inspection intervals it sets. A general integrity management course covers management systems more broadly, with less depth on scoring inputs and interval calculation.

What do you bring back from pipeline segment risk scoring?

You bring back a ranked segment list and an inspection schedule built on it. Each interval is tied to a threat, a degradation rate and a consequence score.

Pipeline Segment Risk Scoring: The Way Forward

The risk-based inspection plan for a pipeline system first informs which segments receive the next in-line tool run or excavation. Integrity engineers and inspection planners use it to set budgets and schedules. After its first use, the perspective worth revisiting is consequence weighting, because population growth or land use can shift the ranking more than corrosion rate.