JUPITER PRIME

Consulting • Training • Advisory

جوبيتر برايم للاستشارات والتدريب

COURSE BROCHURE

Advanced Asset Digital Twin Engineering for Maintenance Training Course

14 – 25 December 2026
London

Advanced Asset Digital Twin Engineering for Maintenance Training Course

Ref: 870_32909 **Date:** 14 – 25 December 2026 **Location:** London **Fees:** 9500 GBP

Asset Digital Twin Engineering for Maintenance is a 2-week training course for reliability engineers and digital maintenance leads who build virtual replicas of pumps, compressors, heat exchangers and transformers to forecast degradation. Participants leave with a validated twin specification for one critical asset. Plant operators now expect live models to steer intervention timing instead of fixed calendars. Jupiter Prime Training delivers this subject with a focus on hybrid physics and data modelling.

Why Asset Digital Twin Engineering for Maintenance Matters Now

Three forces shape twin engineering today: cheaper wireless sensing on rotating and static equipment, a shrinking pool of senior inspectors whose judgement must be captured in models, and asset owners who want degradation forecasts tied to spending decisions. A twin calibrated against field behaviour lets a site defer an overhaul safely or bring one forward before a forced outage; a poorly validated twin produces confident alarms nobody trusts. The course rests on ISO 55001 for asset management systems and EN 13306 for maintenance terminology, so twin outputs speak the vocabulary planners and auditors already use.

Who Gains Most from Asset Digital Twin Engineering for Maintenance

- Engineers accountable for failure-mode modelling and remaining-life estimates on critical equipment
- Leads responsible for condition-monitoring hardware, historian tags and edge gateways
- Specialists who own process simulators, thermodynamic models or finite-element studies
- Data practitioners who build anomaly detectors and degradation regressions for plant assets
- Integrity and inspection coordinators who set inspection intervals from model evidence

A site reliability group gains most when its modelling, instrumentation and inspection specialists attend together and agree one twin architecture.

Asset Digital Twin Engineering for Maintenance Capabilities

Participants move from sensor signals to calibrated hybrid models and finally to intervention triggers that planners can schedule.

- Specify a twin scope, fidelity level and update cadence for one critical asset — investment sponsors
- Design soft sensors that infer unmeasured states from available instrumentation — instrumentation leads
- Build hybrid models joining first-principles equations with machine-learned residuals — modelling specialists
- Test twin accuracy with hold-out periods, uncertainty bands and drift monitors — reliability authorities
- Calculate remaining useful life and convert it into intervention windows — maintenance planners
- Communicate twin evidence through decision dashboards and alert thresholds — operations managers

Key Asset Digital Twin Engineering for Maintenance Terms

- Digital twin — a virtual model of a physical asset, refreshed by field measurements, used to forecast its condition and test interventions.
- Soft sensor — a model that estimates a quantity no instrument measures directly, such as fouling resistance, from measured signals.
- Hybrid model — a model combining physics equations with a data-driven correction term that captures behaviour the physics omits.
- Remaining useful life — the estimated operating time before an asset reaches a defined failure threshold, stated with a confidence band.
- Model drift — gradual loss of twin accuracy as the asset, its duty or its instruments change after calibration.
- Fidelity level — the depth of detail a twin represents, from lumped performance curves to detailed component simulation.

Asset Digital Twin Engineering for Maintenance Day by Day

Day 1: Twin Purpose and Asset Context

- Twin Maturity Ladder — Choosing Between Monitoring, Diagnostic and Predictive Twins
- ISO 55001 Asset Objectives — Linking Twin Scope to Asset Plans
- Criticality Ranking Matrix — Selecting the First Asset Worth Twinning
- EN 13306 Failure Vocabulary — Aligning Twin Outputs With Planner Language
- Twin Business Case Canvas — Weighing Sensor Spend Against Avoided Outages

Day 2: Failure Physics of Plant Equipment

- Failure Modes and Effects Tables — Mapping Degradation Mechanisms to Measurable Signals
- Bearing Wear and Vibration Signatures — Defining Rotating Equipment Health Indicators
- Heat Exchanger Fouling Models — Estimating Thermal Resistance Growth Over Time
- Transformer Insulation Ageing Curves — Relating Hot-Spot Temperature to Life Loss
- P-F Interval Estimation — Setting How Early a Twin Must Warn

Day 3: Instrumentation and Data Pipelines

- Sensor Coverage Audit — Finding Gaps Between Failure Modes and Tags
- Historian Tag Hierarchies — Structuring Asset Data for Twin Queries
- Edge Gateway Architecture — Deciding What Computes Locally Versus Centrally
- Signal Cleansing Rules — Handling Spikes, Flatlines and Instrument Faults
- Asset Information Model — Joining Nameplate, Inspection and Work Order Records

Day 4: Soft Sensor Design

- Mass and Energy Balance Estimators — Inferring Unmeasured Flows and Duties
- Observer and Kalman Filter Methods — Tracking Hidden States From Noisy Signals
- Regression-Based Virtual Meters — Replacing Failed or Missing Instruments
- Soft Sensor Sensitivity Testing — Ranking Which Inputs Drive Estimate Error
- Instrument Redundancy Checks — Detecting Drifting Transmitters Through Model Residuals

Day 5: Physics-Based Modelling

- Compressor and Pump Performance Curves — Tracking Head and Efficiency Deviation
- Lumped Thermal Network Models — Predicting Temperature Rise in Motors and Windings
- Reduced-Order Structural Models — Estimating Fatigue Damage From Load Cycles
- Corrosion Rate Models — Projecting Wall Loss Between Inspections
- Model Fidelity Trade-Off Grid — Balancing Accuracy, Run Time and Upkeep

Day 6: Data-Driven and Hybrid Models

- Residual Learning Architecture — Correcting Physics Models With Machine-Learned Terms
- Autoencoder Anomaly Scoring — Flagging Unusual Operating Patterns Early
- Survival and Degradation Regression — Estimating Remaining Useful Life Distributions
- Operating Regime Clustering — Separating Duty Changes From Genuine Deterioration
- Feature Engineering From Physics — Building Inputs Engineers Can Explain

Day 7: Calibration and Validation

- Parameter Estimation Methods — Fitting Twin Coefficients to Field Measurements
- Hold-Out and Back-Test Protocols — Proving Forecast Skill on Past Failures
- Uncertainty Quantification Bands — Stating Confidence Behind Each Twin Forecast
- Drift Monitoring Triggers — Deciding When a Twin Needs Recalibration
- Validation Evidence File — Recording Acceptance Criteria for Reliability Authorities

Day 8: From Forecast to Intervention

- Alert Threshold Design — Balancing Missed Failures Against Nuisance Alarms
- Remaining Life to Work Order Logic — Converting Forecasts Into Intervention Windows
- What-If Scenario Runs — Testing Load Reductions and Deferred Overhauls
- Spare Parts Lead-Time Linking — Ordering Components Before Forecast Failure
- Life-Cycle Cost Comparison — Choosing Repair, Refurbish or Replace

Day 9: Dashboards and Twin Operations

- Decision Dashboard Layout — Showing Health, Confidence and Recommended Action
- Role-Based Twin Views — Serving Planners, Operators and Integrity Engineers
- Twin Ownership Model — Assigning Upkeep of Models, Tags and Thresholds
- Cyber Segmentation for Twin Data — Protecting Control Networks From Analytics Traffic
- Scaling Roadmap — Extending One Twin to an Asset Fleet

Day 10: Practising the Twin Specification

- Failure Physics Selection — Applying Day 2 Mechanisms to a Chosen Asset
- Soft Sensor and Hybrid Model Choice — Applying Days 4 to 6 Methods
- Validation Plan Drafting — Applying Day 7 Acceptance Criteria
- Dashboard Mock-Up Review — Applying Day 9 Layouts to Planner Needs
- Validated Twin Specification — Completing Scope, Models, Tests and Triggers

Applying Asset Digital Twin Engineering for Maintenance After the Course

- Run a sensor coverage audit on one critical compressor or transformer and list the soft sensors needed to close its failure-mode gaps.
- Back-test a hybrid degradation model against two past failures and present its uncertainty bands to the reliability authority.

Asset Digital Twin Engineering for Maintenance Questions FAQ

What is Asset Digital Twin Engineering for Maintenance and why does it matter now?

It is the practice of building calibrated virtual models of equipment that forecast degradation and guide intervention timing. It matters now because sensing is cheaper, experienced inspectors are retiring, and owners want overhaul decisions backed by validated forecasts rather than fixed calendars.

Which roles gain most from Asset Digital Twin Engineering for Maintenance?

Reliability engineers, condition-monitoring leads, simulation specialists, plant data practitioners and integrity coordinators gain most. You benefit most if you already own failure-mode analysis or instrument data for critical assets in sectors such as oil and gas processing or power distribution.

How does Asset Digital Twin Engineering for Maintenance differ from a predictive analytics course?

It goes beyond statistical alarm models by joining physics equations, soft sensors and machine-learned corrections in one calibrated twin. A predictive analytics course usually stops at anomaly detection, while this subject covers fidelity choices, validation evidence and the link from remaining life to work orders.

What do you bring back from Asset Digital Twin Engineering for Maintenance?

You bring back a validated twin specification for one critical asset. It sets scope, soft sensors, hybrid model structure, back-test results, alert thresholds and dashboard views, ready for your instrumentation, modelling and planning colleagues to build and review.

Asset Digital Twin Engineering for Maintenance: The Way Forward

The validated twin specification first informs whether a chosen asset can move from calendar overhauls to forecast-driven intervention windows. Reliability engineers, planners and integrity coordinators use it to agree thresholds and spare part ordering. After its first operating quarter, revisit the drift triggers and fidelity level, since duty changes and recalibration effort often reveal which model terms truly earn their upkeep.