JUPITER PRIME

Consulting • Training • Advisory

جوبيتر برايم للاستشارات والتدريب

COURSE BROCHURE

AI Contract Review and Legal Liability Training Course

19 – 23 April 2027
Madrid

jupiterprime.uk

info@jupiterprime.uk

Registered in the United Kingdom and Saudi Arabia

AI Contract Review and Legal Liability Training Course

Ref: 963_36493 **Date:** 19 – 23 April 2027 **Location:** Madrid **Fees:** 6200 GBP

AI Contract Review and Legal Liability is a 1-week training course for in-house lawyers, legal operations managers and compliance counsel, built around one work product: an AI legal use register. AI tools now shape everyday legal advice, so counsel must decide where machine output is trusted. Jupiter Prime Training delivers this course on AI Contract Review and Legal Liability.

Why AI Contract Review and Legal Liability Matters Now

Three forces shape this field today. Business units buy AI tools faster than legal functions vet them, courts expect lawyers to check every machine citation, and data protection authorities scrutinise personal data in models. A legal function that handles this well cuts review time while keeping accountability clear; one that handles it badly faces hallucinated authorities, leaked confidential terms and disputed ownership of generated text. The course draws on ISO/IEC 42001 Artificial Intelligence Management System and the NIST AI Risk Management Framework AI RMF for structuring oversight.

Who Gains Most from AI Contract Review and Legal Liability

- Counsel who negotiate commercial agreements and approve clause positions
- Legal operations leads who select, configure and measure legal technology
- Compliance counsel who answer for privacy, records and regulatory reporting
- Litigation and disputes lawyers who handle disclosure and expert evidence
- Intellectual property advisers who clear content, code and brand assets

An in-house legal department sending contracts, legal operations and privacy counsel together gains most.

AI Contract Review and Legal Liability Capabilities

Participants judge machine-assisted legal work on accuracy, fault and confidentiality.

- Assess clause extraction and redline suggestions against a playbook — the negotiating counsel
- Test legal research assistant answers for fabricated citations — the supervising lawyer
- Allocate liability for automated decisions through warranties and indemnities — the vendor contract owner
- Weigh copyright and ownership questions in generated text and code — the product and marketing leads
- Specify personal data controls for prompts, uploads and model training — the data protection officer
- Build an AI legal use register with sign-off points — the general counsel

Key AI Contract Review and Legal Liability Terms

- Clause extraction — automated identification of contract provisions such as termination, indemnity or governing law.
- Hallucinated citation — a case, statute or quotation produced by a language model that does not exist.
- Human-in-the-loop sign-off — a defined point where a qualified lawyer approves machine output before it leaves the legal function.
- Indemnity carve-out — a contract term that removes or limits a supplier's responsibility for particular losses.
- Training data licence — the permission terms under which content may be used to build or refine an AI model.
- Chain of custody — the documented record showing how evidence was collected, handled and preserved.

AI Contract Review and Legal Liability Day by Day

Day 1: Machine Assistance in Legal Practice

- Map of Legal AI Tool Types — Where Each Fits Legal Work
- Language Model Behaviour and Error Patterns — Trust Limits for Advice
- ISO/IEC 42001 Management System Clauses — Structuring Legal Oversight
- NIST AI RMF Govern and Map Functions — Assigning Legal Accountability
- Professional Duty of Competence and Supervision — Lawyer Responsibility for Output

Day 2: Contract Analysis with AI

- Clause Extraction Accuracy Testing — Choosing Review Thresholds
- Negotiation Playbook Encoding — Consistent Redline Positions Across Deals
- Due Diligence Batch Review — Sampling Rules for Lawyer Checks
- Legal Research Assistant Citation Checks — Filing and Advice Approval
- Confidentiality Settings in Contract Tools — Protecting Privileged Terms

Day 3: Allocating Liability for AI Decisions

- Fault Analysis for Automated Decisions — Who Bears Loss
- Vendor Warranty and Indemnity Drafting — Shifting Model Error Risk
- Limitation of Liability Clauses — Caps for AI Supplier Contracts
- Explainability Records for Contested Decisions — Defending Customer Complaints
- Insurance Cover Review for AI Exposure — Gaps Needing Contract Protection

Day 4: Ownership, Privacy and Evidence

- Authorship Tests for Generated Text and Code — Ownership Claims
- Training Data Licence Review — Permitted Use of Company Content
- Personal Data in Prompts and Uploads — Lawful Basis and Minimisation
- Data Protection Impact Assessment for Legal Tools — Approval Conditions
- Chain of Custody for AI-Processed Evidence — Admissibility in Disputes

Day 5: Practising the AI Legal Use Register

- Banking Loan Agreement Review Case — Applying Clause Extraction Thresholds
- Healthcare Vendor Contract Case — Applying Indemnity and Privacy Terms
- Generated Marketing Content Dispute Simulation — Applying Ownership Tests
- Sign-Off Point Design Exercise — Matching Review Depth to Exposure
- AI Legal Use Register Completion — Presenting Tools, Exposure and Approvals

Applying AI Contract Review and Legal Liability After the Course

- Run a citation and clause extraction accuracy test on the legal function's current AI tool.
- Redraft the warranty, indemnity and data clauses of one live AI supplier agreement using the liability allocation method.

AI Contract Review and Legal Liability Questions FAQ

What is AI Contract Review and Legal Liability and why does it matter now?

It is the discipline of using AI tools on contracts and legal research while controlling who answers for errors. It matters now because these tools are already inside legal workflows, and mistakes in citations, clauses or personal data handling fall on the lawyer who relied on them.

Which roles gain most from AI Contract Review and Legal Liability training?

In-house lawyers, legal operations managers and compliance counsel gain most.

How does AI Contract Review and Legal Liability differ from an AI governance course?

It works at the level of legal documents and legal accountability rather than enterprise policy. A general AI governance course sets organisation-wide controls; this one tests contract tools and drafts liability clauses.

What do you bring back from AI Contract Review and Legal Liability training?

You bring back a completed AI legal use register. It lists each tool in use, the contract and research tasks it supports, its liability and privacy exposure, and the point where a qualified lawyer signs off.

AI Contract Review and Legal Liability: The Way Forward

The AI legal use register first informs which tools may touch privileged or personal material without extra review. General counsel, legal operations and privacy leads use it to approve new tools and renew supplier contracts. After its first use, revisit the sign-off points: real error rates from contract and research work usually show where review can be lighter and where it must be stricter.