JUPITER PRIME
Consulting • Training • Advisory
جوبيتر برايم للاستشارات والتدريب

COURSE BROCHURE

Fitness for Service Assessment of Pressure Equipment and Piping Training Course

9 – 13 August 2027
Barcelona

Fitness for Service Assessment of Pressure Equipment and Piping Training Course

Ref: 1010_38215 **Date:** 9 – 13 August 2027 **Location:** Barcelona **Fees:** 6200 GBP

Fitness for Service Assessment of Pressure Equipment and Piping is a 1-week training course for integrity engineers, inspection engineers and asset managers, in which participants compile a run, repair or retire decision record for a damaged component. Ageing vessels, tanks and process lines now stay in service longer, so owners need defensible judgements on flawed equipment. Jupiter Prime Training delivers this course on Fitness for Service Assessment of Pressure Equipment and Piping.

Why Fitness for Service Assessment Matters Now

Three pressures meet in this field: plants and marine terminals extending equipment beyond its original design life, shutdown windows growing shorter, and insurers and owners asking for documented evidence before accepting a known flaw. A sound evaluation can defer an unnecessary replacement or set a safe operating envelope; a weak one either scraps serviceable steel or leaves a crack growing unseen. The course rests on the three-level assessment approach, fracture mechanics and remaining strength methods.

Who Gains Most from Fitness for Service Assessment

- Engineers who judge whether corroded or cracked equipment can stay in service
- Inspection leads who supply thickness grids, flaw sizes and damage findings
- Asset owners who weigh deferral, derating or replacement of vessels and tanks
- Materials specialists who identify damage mechanisms and their growth rates
- Shutdown planners who schedule repairs found at turnarounds

An integrity group that brings its inspection, materials and operations engineers together gains most.

Fitness for Service Assessment Capabilities

Participants move from an inspection finding to a defensible serviceability verdict with a stated remaining life.

- Assess which damage mechanism produced a flaw and whether it is still active — materials and inspection leads
- Calculate remaining strength factors for general and local metal loss — operating envelope decisions
- Apply screening and detailed levels to pits, blisters and crack-like flaws — accept or reject verdicts
- Weigh creep damage and high-temperature exposure against design margins — heater and boiler owners
- Estimate remaining life and next inspection interval from corrosion and crack growth rates — turnaround planners
- Communicate run, repair, derate or retire options — plant and fleet managers

Key Fitness for Service Assessment Terms

- Fitness for service — a quantitative evaluation of whether damaged equipment can operate safely for a stated period.
- Assessment level — one of three tiers of increasing detail, from conservative screening to specialist numerical analysis.
- Remaining strength factor — the ratio of the failure load of damaged material to that of undamaged material.
- Future corrosion allowance — the extra wall thickness reserved for metal loss expected before the next inspection.
- Crack-like flaw — a planar discontinuity, such as a fatigue or stress corrosion crack, assessed with fracture mechanics.
- Maximum allowable working pressure — the highest pressure damaged equipment may operate at after reassessment.

Fitness for Service Assessment Day by Day

Day 1: Damage Mechanisms and the Assessment Framework

- Three-Level Assessment Approach — Matching Effort to Consequence of Failure
- Corrosion, Erosion and Environmental Cracking Mechanisms — Predicting Active Damage
- Material Toughness and Brittle Fracture Screening — Setting Minimum Temperatures
- Inspection Data Requirements and Thickness Grids — Confirming Evaluation Inputs
- Case Examples From Offshore Separators and Refinery Drums — Framing Assessment Scope

Day 2: Metal Loss and Pitting

- General Metal Loss Using Critical Thickness Profiles — Deciding Continued Operation
- Local Thin Areas and Remaining Strength Factor — Rerating Allowable Pressure
- Pitting Damage Charts and Pit Couples — Accepting Widespread Pitting
- Grooves, Gouges and Dents in Piping — Judging Mechanical Damage
- Future Corrosion Allowance Calculations — Fixing Next Inspection Dates

Day 3: Cracks, Blisters and Distortion

- Crack-Like Flaw Characterisation and Recategorisation — Choosing the Fracture Route
- Failure Assessment Diagram Method — Accepting or Rejecting Crack Indications
- Hydrogen Blisters and Laminations — Assessing Wet Sour Service Damage
- Weld Misalignment and Shell Out-of-Roundness — Evaluating Local Stress Increase
- Fire Damage and Heat-Affected Shell Plates — Screening Post-Incident Serviceability

Day 4: Creep and Remaining Life

- Creep Damage in Heater Tubes and Hot Piping — Estimating Consumed Life
- Larson-Miller Parameter and Stress Rupture Data — Ranking High-Temperature Components
- Fatigue Crack Growth Rates — Setting Monitoring Intervals for Cyclic Service
- Storage Tank Shell and Floor Settlement Checks — Approving Tank Return to Service
- Probabilistic Sensitivity on Flaw Size and Corrosion Rate — Bounding Life Estimates

Day 5: Practising the Run, Repair or Retire Decision Record

- Applying Metal Loss Levels to a Corroded Pipe Spool — Testing Operating Limits
- Applying Crack Assessment to a Weld Indication — Confirming Acceptance Margins
- Applying Creep Life Estimates to a Furnace Coil — Planning Replacement Timing
- Repair Options From Weld Overlay to Sleeves — Comparing Repair Against Derating
- Completing the Run, Repair or Retire Decision Record — Securing Owner Approval

Applying Fitness for Service Assessment After the Course

- Rerun a local thin area evaluation on one corroded vessel from recent inspection data and confirm its allowable pressure.
- Build a remaining life table for the hot piping and heater tubes in one unit, ranked by consumed creep life.

Fitness for Service Assessment Questions FAQ

What is Fitness for Service Assessment of Pressure Equipment and Piping and why does it matter now?

It is a quantitative judgement of whether damaged vessels, tanks or piping can keep operating safely. It matters now because ageing assets run longer between shutdowns, and owners need evidence before accepting a known flaw.

Which roles gain most from Fitness for Service Assessment of Pressure Equipment and Piping training?

Integrity, inspection and materials engineers gain most, along with asset owners who sign off deferral or derating.

How does Fitness for Service Assessment of Pressure Equipment and Piping differ from an in-service inspection course?

Fitness for Service Assessment of Pressure Equipment and Piping starts where inspection ends. An inspection course covers finding and sizing damage; this course covers calculating whether that damage is acceptable, for how long, and at what pressure.

What do you bring back from Fitness for Service Assessment of Pressure Equipment and Piping training?

You bring back worked evaluations of metal loss, cracks and creep, plus a documented decision for one component.

Fitness for Service Assessment: The Way Forward

The run, repair or retire decision record first informs whether a damaged component stays online until the next turnaround. Integrity engineers prepare it, and asset owners and operations managers sign it. After its first use, revisit the corrosion and crack growth rates assumed against the rates measured at the next inspection.