JUPITER PRIME

Consulting • Training • Advisory

جوبيتر برايم للاستشارات والتدريب

COURSE BROCHURE

AI Leading Indicator Prediction for Safety Professionals Training Course

27 September – 1 October 2027
Paris

jupiterprime.uk

info@jupiterprime.uk

Registered in the United Kingdom and Saudi Arabia

AI Leading Indicator Prediction for Safety Professionals Training Course

Ref: 1034_39088 **Date:** 27 September – 1 October 2027 **Location:** Paris **Fees:** 6900 GBP

AI Leading Indicator Prediction for Safety Professionals is a 1-week training course for HSE managers, safety engineers and process safety specialists who will build a Leading Indicator Prediction Dashboard. Lagging injury rates reveal harm only after it happens, while near-miss reports, permit records and site camera footage hold earlier warning signals. Jupiter Prime Training presents AI Leading Indicator Prediction for Safety Professionals as the discipline of turning those signals into timely, defensible risk reduction decisions.

Why AI Leading Indicator Prediction for Safety Professionals Matters Now

Three forces are reshaping frontline safety. Sensors, wearables and site cameras now produce far more observations than any HSE team can read by hand. Language models can sort thousands of free-text near-miss narratives, exposing recurring precursors. A site that reads these signals well intervenes before a serious event; one that trusts an unvalidated model risks false reassurance and alarm fatigue. The course draws on the ISO/IEC 42001 AI management system standard and the NIST AI Risk Management Framework AI RMF for model oversight.

Who Gains Most from AI Leading Indicator Prediction for Safety Professionals

- Professionals who set and report the safety performance measures of a site or business unit
- Engineers who run hazard identification studies and maintain hazard registers
- Specialists who own permit-to-work and isolation control systems
- Investigators who classify near misses and incidents and track corrective actions
- Advisers who judge whether camera and sensor analytics are fit for frontline use

An HSE function gains most when its safety, operations and data specialists attend together, since precursor models only change behaviour when field leaders trust and act on their alerts.

AI Leading Indicator Prediction for Safety Professionals Capabilities

Participants move from raw safety signals to predictions that frontline supervisors can act on.

- Specify precursor events and leading measures that genuinely anticipate serious injury and fatality exposure — site leadership
- Apply text mining to near-miss narratives to surface recurring hazard themes — incident review boards
- Weigh computer vision detection of unsafe acts against privacy, bias and false alarm costs — works councils
- Build a permit-to-work risk score from overlapping jobs, simultaneous operations and isolation history — control room supervisors
- Test a prediction model for drift, precision and recall before it drives interventions — HSE directors
- Communicate model limits so that predictions support rather than replace human hazard judgement — frontline crews

Key AI Leading Indicator Prediction for Safety Professionals Terms

- Leading indicator — a measure that changes before harm occurs, such as rising near-miss frequency.
- Precursor — a condition or event that, left unaddressed, raises the likelihood of a serious incident.
- Serious injury and fatality SIF potential — the share of events whose credible worst outcome is life-altering or fatal harm.
- Text mining — automated extraction of themes, entities and patterns from free-text reports and observations.
- Pose estimation — a computer vision method that locates body joints to detect unsafe postures or zone entry.
- Alarm fatigue — the loss of response when operators receive too many low-value alerts from a model.

AI Leading Indicator Prediction for Safety Professionals Day by Day

Day 1: From Lagging Counts to Precursor Signals

- Lagging Versus Leading Measures — Choosing What Predicts Harm
- SIF Potential Classification Of Events — Focusing Scarce Attention
- Safety Data Inventory Across Permits, Inspections And Sensors — Signal Coverage
- Bow-Tie Barriers As Predictor Sources — Linking Hazards To Measures
- Data Quality And Under-Reporting Bias — Trusting Model Inputs

Day 2: Hazard Identification and Report Text Mining

- AI-Assisted HAZID Prompting And Hazard Libraries — Study Coverage
- Near-Miss Narrative Clustering With Language Models — Recurring Themes
- Named Entity Extraction Of Equipment And Tasks — Hotspot Mapping
- Corrective Action Text Classification — Closing Repeat Findings
- Human Review Of Machine Labels — Accepting Or Overruling Output

Day 3: Computer Vision and Permit Analytics

- Object Detection For PPE And Exclusion Zones — Camera Placement Choices
- Pose Estimation For Manual Handling Strain — Ergonomic Interventions
- Privacy, Consent And Workforce Trust In Video Analytics — Acceptable Use
- Permit-To-Work Risk Scoring From Simultaneous Operations — Job Authorisation
- Isolation History And Overlapping Work Patterns — Shift Handover Focus

Day 4: Prediction Models and Their Limits

- Gradient Boosting Models For Incident Likelihood — Weekly Site Risk Ranking
- Precision, Recall And Threshold Setting — Balancing Missed Events And Alarms
- Model Drift Monitoring After Process Changes — Retraining Triggers
- NIST AI RMF Map And Measure Functions — Safety Model Oversight
- Explainable Feature Contributions For Supervisors — Credible Alerts

Day 5: Practising the Leading Indicator Prediction Dashboard

- Applying SIF Precursor Selection To A Petrochemical Plant Case — Measure Set
- Applying Narrative Text Mining To A Construction Contractor Case — Theme Map
- Applying Permit Risk Scoring To A Maintenance Shutdown Case — Authorisation Rules
- Peer Challenge Of Alert Thresholds — Alarm Fatigue Check
- Leading Indicator Prediction Dashboard — Measures, Models, Thresholds And Actions

Applying AI Leading Indicator Prediction for Safety Professionals After the Course

- Cluster twelve months of near-miss narratives and present the top five precursor themes to site leadership.
- Pilot a permit-to-work risk score on one unit and compare its alerts with supervisor judgement for a month.

AI Leading Indicator Prediction for Safety Professionals Questions FAQ

What is AI leading indicator prediction for safety professionals and why does it matter now?

It is the use of machine learning, text mining and computer vision to forecast where harm is likely before it occurs. It matters now because sites hold far more safety signals than people can read manually.

Which roles gain most from AI leading indicator prediction for safety professionals?

HSE managers, safety engineers and process safety specialists gain most.

How does AI leading indicator prediction for safety professionals differ from a course on process safety management?

A process safety management course covers the elements of a management system. This subject focuses on building and judging predictive models of precursors.

What do you bring back from AI leading indicator prediction for safety professionals?

You bring back a draft dashboard linking chosen precursor measures, models, alert thresholds and agreed interventions.

AI Leading Indicator Prediction for Safety Professionals: The Way Forward

The Leading Indicator Prediction Dashboard sets out the chosen precursor measures, the models behind them, alert thresholds and the intervention each alert triggers. Its first use is deciding which units receive extra supervision next week. HSE leaders and operations supervisors share it. After three months, revisit whether alerts preceded real events or simply added noise.